.

Patent Application Inventors: Ituriel Mar Ramos, et al. Atty. Docket No.: 32944-00046USPT

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

## TITLE

## METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR SELECTING A LINK SET

**INVENTORS** 

ITURIEL MAR RAMOS MARCO ANTONIO GARZA

20

Atty. Docket No.: 32944-00046USPT

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

**Technical Field** 

5 This invention relates generally to systems and methods for load sharing message

traffic within a network. More particularly, the invention relates to a method for managing message

traffic using load sharing between signaling links in a common channel signaling network.

**History of Related Art** 

The SS7 (also called Signaling System No. 7) signaling protocol provides a

practical method for implementing sophisticated, network-based intelligence services by providing

"out-of-band" signaling using a separate high-speed data link for controlling telephone call setup

and routing. As the demand for network-based intelligence services, such as calling number

delivery and automatic callback has increased, a need to add traffic capacity and improve load

balancing capabilities of the SS7 network has been recognized.

In response to this need, the standards bodies responsible for setting SS7 network

standards have issued requirements to be met by SS7 network providers. The International

Telecommunications Union (ITU) has also made recommendations, such as the Telecommunication

Standardization Sector of ITU (ITU-T) Q.704 (herein incorporated by reference in its entirety),

which addresses signaling network functions and messages, including message routing and load

sharing within a link set. This recommendation addresses the distribution of message loading

between signaling links, whether they belong to the same link set or not. The message routing

function is based on information contained in the routing label, namely, on the Destination Point

-1-

may also be required.

Each signaling point has routing information that allows it to determine the signaling

link over which a message should be sent. Typically, the DPC is associated with more than one

Code (DPC) and on the signaling link selection field. In some circumstances, the service indicator

signaling link that may be used to carry the message. Thus, the Signaling Link Selection (SLS)

code is a part of the Signaling Information Field (SIF). The SLS code is used by the Message

Transfer Part (MTP) to determine the link set and the signaling link that will be used to carry traffic

for every one of the SLS values.

100

10

15

the first of the f

The first step is to determine, using the MTP of the SS7, which load shared link

sets will be used to carry which SLS codes. In the case of two load sharing link sets, the decision

is based on the Load Sharing Bit (LSHB) method. That is, one of the four bits in the SLS code

is selected, and the value of the bit is used to divide the messages into two sets; the first, for

example, takes messages having the SLS code bit equal to zero and sends them to the first link set,

and the second, having messages including an SLS code with the selected bit set to one, are sent

to the second link set. The prior art table 1 shows how the LSHB can be used to determine which

link set (i.e., 1 or 2) is used to carry the messages.

Atty. Docket No.: 32944-00046USPT

TABLE 1.

	LSHB►	0	1	2	3
	LS₹				
5		0000 (0)	0000(0)	0000 (0)	0000 (0)
		0010 (2)	0001 (1)	0001 (1)	0001 (1)
		0100 (4)	0100 (4)	0010(2)	0010 (2)
		0110 (6)	0101 (5)	0011 (3)	0011 (3)
	1	1000 (8)	1000 (8)	1000 (8)	0100 (4)
		1010 (10)	1001 (9)	1001 (9)	0101 (5)
		1100 (12)	1100 (12)	1010 (10)	0110 (6)
		1110 (14)	1101 (13)	1011 (11)	0111 (7)
10		0001 (1)	0010(2)	0100 (4)	1000 (8)
		0011 (3)	0011 (3)	0101 (5)	1001 (9)
		0101 (5)	0110 (6)	0110 (6)	1010 (10)
	2	0111 (7)	0111 (7)	0111 (7)	1011 (11)
		1001 (9)	1010 (10)	1100 (12)	1100 (12)
		1011 (11)	1011 (11)	1101 (13)	1101 (13)
		1101 (13)	1110 (14)	1110 (14)	1110 (14)
		1111 (15)	1111 (15)	1111 (15)	1111 (15)

Once the link set is selected, the SLS is used to determine the Signaling Link Code (SLC) within the link set. The distribution of the messages within the link set is dependent on the number of SLCs that the link set contains.

Within a single link set, distribution of the different SLS codes is accomplished by assigning the first SLS code (i.e., 0) to the first SLC (i.e., 0). The next SLS code is assigned to 20 the next SLC (i.e., SLS=1 is assigned to SLC=1, SLS=2 is assigned to SLC=2, etc.). Once the last SLC defined has been assigned to an SLS, then a return is made to the first SLC and SLS codes are continuously assigned. See Table 2, below, as an example of assignments for SLS codes 0 through 15, as assigned to SLCs 0, 1, 2, and 3. It should be noted that the MTP user (e.g., the ISDN User Part "ISUP", the Telephone User's Part "TUP", and/or the Data User Part "DUP"), the Signaling Connection Control Part "SCCP", and Transaction Capabilities Application Part "TCAP" assign SLS codes to messages so as to ensure that all sixteen of the SLS codes are used as evenly as possible.

TABLE 2.

SLC=	0	1	2	3
	0	1	2	3
	4	5	6	7
	8	9	10	11
	12	13	14	15

Unfortunately, following the recommendations of the ITU-T Q.704 does not lead

to even distribution of network traffic. While some signaling links are congested, others are not fully loaded. For example, in the case of two load shared link sets, the recommended maximum number of signaling links is eight, because using more than eight signaling links will result in unused signaling link codes. Further, even when the difference between any two signaling links belonging to the same link set is only one SLS code, it should be noted that previous load sharing between
link sets may mean that the link set will not contain all 16 of the SLS codes. For example, using column LSHB=0 of Table 1, SLS=0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, and 14 are assigned to LS1. Thus, when these SLS codes are distributed into the link set using Table 2 (having four signaling links per link set), SLC=0, 2 each have four link sets, and SLC=1, 3 have no link sets. This problem can be seen in Table 3 below.

20

5

TABLE. 3.

5	# of SLs for LS1	LSHB			
		0	1	2	3
	2	SL1 – 0,2,4,6,8,10,	SL1 - 0,4,8,12 - 50%	SL1 - 0,2,8,10 - 50%	SL1 - 0,2,4,6 - 50%
		12,14100%	SL2 – 1,5,9,13 - 50%	SL2 – 1,3,9,11 - 50%	SL2 – 1,3,5,7 - 50%
		SL2 – 0%			
	3	SL1 - 0,6,12 - 37.5%	SL1 - 0,9,12 - 37.5%	SL1 - 0,3,9 - 37.5%	SL1 – 0,3,6 - 37.5%
		SL2 - 4,10 - 25%	SL2 - 1,4, 13 - 37.5%	SL2 - 1,10 - 25%	SL2 - 1,4,7 - 37.5%
		SL3 - 2,8,14 - 37.5%	SL3 – 5,8 - 25%	SL3 – 2,8,11 - 37.5%	SL3 – 2,5 - 25%
	4	SL1 - 0,4,8,12 - 50%	SL1 - 0,4,8,12 - 50%	SL1 – 0,8 - 25%	SL1 - 0,4 - 25%
		SL2 – 0%	SL2 – 1,5,9,13 - 50%	SL2 – 1,9 - 25%	SL2 – 1,5 - 25%
		SL3 – 2,6,10,14 -50%	SL3 – 0%	SL3 – 2,10 - 25%	SL3 – 2,6 - 25%
		SL4 – 0%	SL4 – 0%	SL4 – 3,11 - 25%	SL4 – 3,7 - 25%
)	5	SL1 – 0,10 - 25%	SL1 – 0,5 - 25%	SL1 - 0,10 - 25%	SL1 - 0.5 - 25%
		SL2 – 6 - 12.5%	SL2 – 1 - 12.5%	SL2 – 1,11 - 25%	SL2 – 1,6 - 25%
		SL3 - 2,12 - 25%	SL3 – 12 - 12.5%	SL3 – 2 - 12.5%	SL3 – 2,7 - 25%
		SL4 – 8 - 12.5%	SL4 – 8,13 - 25%	SL4 – 3,8 - 25%	SL4 – 3 - 12.5%
		SL5 – 4,14 - 25%	SL5 – 4,9 - 25%	SL5 – 9 - 12.5%	SL5 – 4 - 12.5%
	6	SL1 – 0,6,12 - 37.5%	SL1 - 0,12 - 25%	SL1 – 0 - 12.5%	SL1 - 0,6 - 25%
		SL2 – 0%	SL2 - 1,13 - 25%	SL2 – 1 - 12.5%	SL2 - 1,7 - 25%
		SL3 – 2,8,14 - 37.5%	SL3 – 8 - 12.5%	SL3 – 2,8 - 25%	SL3 – 2 - 12.5%
		SL4 – 0%	SL4 – 9 - 12.5%	SL4 – 3,9 - 25%	SL4 – 3 - 12.5%
		SL5 – 4,10 - 25%	SL5 – 4 - 12.5%	SL5 – 10 - 12.5%	SL5 – 4 - 12.5%
	<del></del>	SL6 – 0%	SL6 – 5 - 12.5%	SL6 – 11 - 12.5%	SL6 – 5 - 12.5%
	7	SL1 – 0,14 - 25%	SL1 – 0 - 12.5%	SL1 - 0 - 12.5%	SL1 – 0,7 - 25%
		SL2 – 8 - 12.5%	SL2 – 1,8 - 25%	SL2 – 1,8 - 25%	SL2 – 1 - 12.5%
		SL3 – 2 - 12.5%	SL3 – 9 - 12.5%	SL3 – 2,9 - 25%	SL3 – 2 - 12.5%
		SL4 – 10 - 12.5%	SL4 – 0%	SL4 – 3,10 - 25%	SL4 – 3 - 12.5%
		SL5 – 4 - 12.5%	SL5 – 4 - 12.5%	SL5 – 11 - 12.5%	SL5 – 4 - 12.5%
		SL6 – 12 - 12.5%	SL6 – 5,12 - 25%	SL6 – 0%	SL6 – 5 - 12.5%
ļ		SL7 - 6 - 12.5%	SL7 – 13 - 12.5%	SL7 – 0%	SL7 - 6 - 12.5%
	8	SL1 – 0,8 - 25%	SL1 – 0,8 - 25%	SL1 – 0,8 - 25%	SL1 - 0 - 12.5%
		SL2 – 0%	SL2 – 1,9 - 25%	SL2 – 1,9 - 25%	SL2 – 1 - 12.5%
		SL3 – 2,10 - 25%	SL3 – 0%	SL3 – 2,10 - 25%	SL3 – 2 - 12.5%
		SL4 – 0%	SL4 – 0%	SL4 – 3,11 - 25%	SL4 – 3 - 12.5%
		SL5 – 4-12 - 25%	SL5 – 4,12 - 25%	SL5 - 0%	SL5 – 4 - 12.5%
		SL6 – 0%	SL6 – 5,13 - 25%	SL6 – 0%	SL6 – 5 - 12.5%
		SL7 – 6,14 - 25%	SL7 – 0%	SL7 – 0%	SL7 – 6 - 12.5%
		SL8 – 0%	SL8 – 0%	SL8 – 0%	SL8 – 7 - 12.5%

Table 3 details the signaling link assignment within a single link set (i.e., LS1). The assignment of SLS codes to each signaling link leads to uneven distribution of the traffic that each signaling link handles. The percentage values indicate the percentage of traffic handled by each

20

Atty. Docket No.: 32944-00046USPT

signaling link within the link set. The distribution percentage figures are obtained by first

determining the SLS codes for the first link set using Table 1, and then assigning those codes

according to the distribution of SLS codes for signaling links belonging to the link set according to

Table 2. As can be seen from Table 3, out of the 64 possible cases, only seven result in even

distribution of network traffic. Four of these seven cases include the scenario where all SLS codes

are assigned to a single signaling link code.

The result of the current system may provide equal distribution of messages

between link sets; however, the message distribution within a link set (i.e., between signaling links)

may be unequal. An example of this can be seen in Figures 1 and 2, where the LSHB method is

used to distribute messages between various link sets from the message origin to its destination.

Thus, it is readily apparent that even when the load is balanced between link sets, the distribution

of messages within a link set (between signaling links) depends on the number of signaling links

within each link set, and the connections available between link sets. Thus, the root of the problem

is that it is difficult to distribute message traffic evenly when less than all of the SLS codes are

15 passed on to the link sets.

Another difficulty with network traffic arises from the decreasing need for in-

sequence message delivery service, described by ITU-T document Q.700 Introduction to CCIT

Signaling System No. 7 (incorporated herein by reference in its entirety). In-sequence delivery is

normally used when the application sends more data than one message can handle, and either the

application or the SCCP segments the data into two or more messages. However, as mobile

applications are among the fastest growing users of the SS7 network, there is no need for

in-sequence delivery of messages under the ANSI-41 standard. Further, for global system mobile

communications (GSM), only a few of the messages require in-sequence delivery. Finally, with

-6-

the introduction in the near future of long messages (up to 4k octets) in the SS7 networks, the need for in-sequence message delivery will decrease further.

Thus, what is needed is a method and apparatus for using out-of-sequence message delivery service by the MTP. Such a method and apparatus should improve message load distribution within link sets, and remove the prior art limitation of the number of load shared link sets and signaling links for a given destination. The method and apparatus should be available for an MTP user, or, alternatively, on a per-message basis. The method and apparatus should be usable at an originating node, and also with an indication to be sent along with messages as a way of improving traffic distribution at various Signaling Transfer Points (STPs) along the way.

10

## SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The invention operates using MTP out-of-sequence message delivery service, including an indication from the MTP user at the originating node as to whether a message requires in-sequence delivery. The indication can also be sent with each message (from a non-originating 15 node) to the next node, if the node supports out-of-sequence service. This solution to the problems described above will provide a more equitable distribution of traffic when the majority of messages do not require in-sequence delivery. The number of load shared link sets can be increased, and the limit of 16 signaling links per destination will no longer exist. This has the additional advantage of increasing bandwidth for message traffic toward destinations which are 20 used most often.

Thus, when in-sequence message delivery is requested for a message, the MTP user will operate as in the prior art. However, when out-of-sequence message service is

15

20

Atty. Docket No.: 32944-00046USPT

requested, traffic will be distributed more evenly between link sets, based on the use of a link

selector value maintained in memory.

The method of the invention for selecting a link set comprises the steps of

maintaining a link selector value in memory, determining that the message (which includes a

destination point code and signaling link selection code) does not require in-sequence delivery, and

then selecting the link set according to the destination point code, the signaling link selection code,

and the link selector value. The link selector value is typically assigned an initial value and a

maximum value (being incremented each time a link set is selected up to a maximum value).

Determining whether the message requires in-sequence delivery usually includes receiving a request

for delivery of the message and testing the value of a bit (such as a Service Information Octet

having a sub-service field, including the bit to be tested).

The invention also includes a memory node with a load sharing memory having a

list of load sharing link sets. The message is routed to a receiving node over a selected one of the

load sharing link sets using the link selector value. The memory node includes a link selector

memory to maintain the link selector value, which is incremented from a minimum initial value to a

maximum value, and then reset. Each time a link set is selected, the link selector value is

incremented by a value of one after the message is sent to the receiving node using the selected link

set.

In another aspect of the invention, a telecommunications network includes a

plurality of load sharing link sets for routing a message. The network also has a memory node with

a load sharing memory including a list of the load sharing link sets, a signaling link memory for

storing a signaling link selection code, and a link selector memory for maintaining a link selector

value associated with the maximum value of the link selector value. The network also includes a

-8-

Atty. Docket No.: 32944-00046USPT

receiving node, wherein the message is routed to the receiving node over the selected load sharing

link set. As described previously, the link selector value is incremented by one after the message

is sent, and then reset to the initial value whenever incrementing the link selector value provides a

new value which is greater than the maximum allowed value.

5

**BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS** 

A more complete understanding of the structure and operation of the present

invention may be had by reference to the following detailed description when taken in conjunction

with the accompanying drawings, wherein:

FIG. 1, previously described, is a prior art network block diagram;

FIG. 2, previously described, is a prior art link set and signaling link message

distribution block chart diagram;

FIG. 3 is a flow chart diagram illustrating the method of the present invention;

FIG. 4 is a diagram illustrating link set selection using the method of the present

invention; and

FIGS. 5 and 6 are a network block diagram and link set/signaling link block

diagram, respectively, illustrating distribution of messages between signaling links and link

sets using the method and apparatus of the present invention.

20

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF PRESENTLY PREFERRED

**EXEMPLARY EMBODIMENTS** 

Turning now to Figure 3, a flow chart diagram illustrating the method of the present

5 invention can be seen. The method begins in step 80, wherein a MTP-transfer request primitive

from the MTP user is received. The request typically includes a sequence indicator as a bit or flag

within the sub-service field of the Service Information Octet (SIO). This request is typically

included with an originating message. In the case of a non-originating message, a new sequence

indicator can be included. Thus, in non-originating SS7 messages, the sequence indicator requires

10 a flag, or single bit field, which can be assigned using a spare bit in the sub-service field, as part of

the Service Information Octet (SIO). Of course, if the MTP user always sends out-of-sequence

messages, no sequence indicator or determination will be needed, as described below.

If a route for the message to the Destination Point Code (DPC) exists, and is not

congested, as determined in step 90, then a determination is made as to whether load sharing

between link sets is possible in step 100. If not, then the signaling link in the link set will be selected

on the basis of the SLS code in step 110, and normal routing of the message will continue in step

120. However, if load sharing is possible, then the method continues with step 130, wherein a

determination is made as to whether out-of-sequence service has been requested. As noted

above, it may well be that some MTP users will always use out-of-sequence service messaging.

In this case, no determination is required, and the links will automatically be selected according to

step 150 using the DPC, the SLS code, and a link selector value. Step 150 will also be the next

step if out-of-sequence service is specifically requested. If in-sequence service is requested, then

-10-

15

Atty. Docket No.: 32944-00046USPT

the method continues from step 130 to step 140, wherein the link set is selected according to the

LSHB and SLS code, and the signaling link is selected in step 110, as described above.

As can be seen in Figure 4, a set of link set selectors 200, 220 is assigned to each

DPC in the network. Thus, DPC1 160 (which may designate a sending node, a receiving node,

and/or a memory node) has a link selector 200, 220 assigned to each SLS code 180, 190. For

example, the link selector 200 is assigned to the SLS code=0 180, and the link selector 220 is

assigned to the SLS code=15 190. Each link selector 200, 220 is used to incrementally select a

link set for load sharing. For example, the link selector 200 is used to select between the link sets

210 for load sharing, and the link selector 220 is also used to select among the same link sets 210.

As each message is sent, the link selector for the assigned SLS code is incremented by a value of

1 as it sequences through the link sets. Thus, for example, the link selector 200 is incremented

every time a message having an SLS code=0 180 is to be routed through the link sets 210. The

link selector 200 is initialized to point to the first link set when the first message arrives having an

SLS code=0 180. After the first message is sent, the link selector 200 is incremented to indicate

that the second link set is to be used for sending the next message having an SLS code=0 180.

The link selector 200 continues to be incremented with each arriving message having an SLS

code=0 180 until the maximum value (e.g., in this case, link set 4) is reached. Then, instead of

incrementing to a higher value, the link selector 200 is reset to the minimum or initial value so as to

point to link set 1.

Each link selector assigned to the various SLS codes is incremented in a similar

fashion. Thus, the link selector 220 will also be incremented so as to point to link sets 1 through

20

Atty. Docket No.: 32944-00046USPT

4 for arriving messages which include SLS codes=15 190. In this manner, the messages including

SLS codes from 0 to 15 180, 190 are equally distributed between the link sets, and between the

signaling links included in the various link sets.

In summary, for each message, the MTP user will determine if the message requires

in-sequence delivery or out-of-sequence delivery. For in-sequence delivery, load sharing will be

treated according to ITU-T recommendation Q.704. For out-of-sequence delivery, a new

mechanism will be used to select the link set to be used for routing. For every combination of

destination and SLS code, there will be a link set selector or pointer to the link set that will be

assigned to individual messages. Once a message is sent, the link set selector or pointer will be

updated to point to the next load shared link set in the list of load shared link sets maintained for

a particular destination. Once the last link set in the list is reached, then the link set selector or

pointer will be updated to point to the first link set in the list. Thus, the link set selector removes

the limitation of 16 paths imposed by the prior art, wherein the SLS code doesn't change. In the

method and apparatus of the present invention, the link set selector or pointer acts as a "virtual"

SLS code. This approach has the additional benefit that nodes which do not support

out-of-sequence service will still function after the method and apparatus of the invention are

installed in the network, such that the new approach is compatible with existing networks.

Thus, when a link set is included as part of a telecommunications network having

a memory, the method of selecting the link set for routing a message having a destination point code

and a signaling link selection code includes the steps of: maintaining a link selector value in the

memory, determining that the message does not require in-sequence delivery, and selecting the link

15

20

set according to the destination point code, the signaling link selection code, and the link selector

value. The link selector value, as discussed above, may be assigned an initial value (e.g., pointing

to the first link set in a list of link sets) and a maximum value, such that the link selector value is not

allowed to exceed the maximum value (e.g., pointing to the last link set in a list of link sets).

Typically, the link selector value is initialized to an initial value (i.e., the first link set in a list of link

sets), incremented by a value of one to provide an incremented link selector value (to point to the

"next" link set), and, if incrementing the link selector value provides an incremented link selector

value which is greater than the maximum value, resetting the link selector value to the initial value.

The steps of incrementing the link selector value and resetting the link selector value to the initial

value may be repeated in a continuous fashion. Of course, as described above, incrementing the

link selector value typically occurs after the link set for sending the arriving message has been

selected.

The determination that a message does not require in-sequence delivery may

include the step of receiving a request for delivery of the message, which may further include the

step of testing the value of a bit contained in the message, such as one of several bits contained

within the sub-service field of a Service Information Octet. Of course, under certain circumstances,

the determination of whether in-sequence message delivery is required can be made ahead of time

(e.g., a default situation), since certain MTP users always make use of out-of-sequence message

delivery. The method may also comprise the steps of including requests for out-of-sequence

delivery within the message, and routing the message over the link set from a first node to a second

node within the telecommunications network.

15

20

Atty. Docket No.: 32944-00046USPT

Turning now to Figure 5, a telecommunications network 275 block diagram can

be seen. In this case, the Signaling Transfer Points (STPs) 260, 270 are arranged between a

message originating node 240 and a message receiving node 250. As can be seen, various link sets

(i.e., LS1, LS2, ... LS7, LS8) connect the origination node 240, the STPs 260, 270, and the

receiving node 250. Also in electronic communication with the origination node 240 is a memory

node 244, which includes a load sharing memory 256 having a list of load sharing link sets (e.g.,

in this case, LS1, LS2, ... LS7, LS8). The link selector memory 246 is used to maintain the link

selector value 248 associated with a minimum value 252 and a maximum value 254. As described

above, the link selector value 248 is incremented to point to the next link set in the series (i.e., the

link set following the selected link set) when the message is sent to the receiving node 250 over

a selected one of the load sharing link sets. Of course, the link selector value 248 is reset to the

initial value 252 whenever incrementing the link selector value 248 provides an incremented link

selector value which is greater than the maximum value 254. Of course, the link selector value can

also be decremented to the minimum value initialized to the maximum value, and then reset. It

should be noted that the memory node 244 and/or the memories 246, 256 may be incorporated

entirely within the origination or sending node 240. Similarly, the memories 246, 256 may be part

of a single contiguous memory, or independent.

Using this approach with the network 275, traffic including all 16 of the SLS codes

will be sent to each one of the link sets defined in the load sharing link set list for the destination

receiving node 250. In most cases, this results in a greatly increased equitable distribution of

message traffic between signaling links. For example, if there are 1, 2, 4, 8, or 16 signaling links

Atty. Docket No.: 32944-00046USPT

present, there will be a perfectly even distribution of message traffic. If three signaling links are

present, then the relative difference between links is a mere 20% with regard to equitable

distribution of message traffic. For five signaling links, the difference is about 33%. For six or

seven signaling links, the difference is about 50%, and for 9 to 15 signaling links, the difference may

be as high as 100%. Even so, the number of cases with equitable distribution is much better than

that provided by even the best case LSHB method (i.e., LSHB = 3), wherein the deviation for

three signaling links may be as much as 50%, and as much as 100% for five, six, or seven signaling

links. This difference can be easily seen by comparing Table 3 (which uses the LSHB method) and

the traffic distribution illustrated in Table 4, which applies to the method of the present invention.

TABLE 4.

	# of SLs for LS1	LSHB not used due to the NEW Link Set selector		
		Independent of the number of Link Sets		
	2	SL1 - 0,2,4,6,8,10,12,14 -50%		
		SL2 – 1,3,5,7,9,11,13,15 - 50%		
5	3	SL1 - 0,3,6,9,12,15 - 37.5%		
		SL2 – 1,4,7,10,13 - 31.25%		
		SL3 - 2,5,8,11,14 - 31.25%		
	4			
		SL2 – 1,5,9,13   - 25%		
		SL3 – 2,6,10,14 -25%		
		SL4 – 3,7,11,15 - 25%		
	5	SL1 - 0,5,10,15 - 25%		
		SL2 – 1,6,11 - 18.75%		
		SL3 – 2,7,12 - 18.75%		
		SL4 – 3,8,13 - 18.75%		
		SL5 – 4,9,14 – 18.75%		
	6 SL1 – 0,6,12 - 18.75%			
		SL2-1,7,13 - 18.75%		
		SL3 – 2,8,14 - 18.75%		
		SL4 – 3,9,15 -18.75%		
		SL5 – 4,10 - 12.5%		
		SL6 – 5,11 - 12.5%		
	7 SL1 – 0,7,14 - 18.75%			
		SL2 – 1,8,15 - 18.75%		
		SL3 – 2,9 - 12.5%		
		SL4 – 3,10 - 12.5%		
		SL5 – 4,11 - 12.5%		
		SL6 – 5,12 - 12.5%		
		SL7 - 6,13 - 12.5%		
10	8	SL1 – 0,8 - 12.5%		
		SL2 – 1,9 - 12.5%		
		SL3 – 2,10 – 12.5%		
		SL4 – 3,11 - 12.5%		
		SL5 – 4,12     - 12.5%		
		SL6 – 5,13 - 12.5%		
		SL7 – 6,14 - 12.5%		
		SL8 – 7,15 - 12.5%		

Turning to Figure 6, the distribution of SLS codes for eight link sets **280,290,300** is shown to be evenly divided between link sets **280,290,300**, as well as between signaling links within individual link sets **280,290,300** when the apparatus and method of the present invention are used to manage message traffic (compare to Figure 2). As mentioned above, for originating

Atty. Docket No.: 32944-00046USPT

messages, the MTP-TRANSFER request can be used to carry the sequence indicator when the

method of the present invention is implemented on a per-message basis. For messages in-transit,

a new sequence indicator can be implemented as a one bit field, or flag, as part of the spare bits

included in the sub-service field of the Service Information Octet, for example. As subsequent

nodes support MTP out-of-sequence service, the indicator requesting out-of-sequence service can

be sent along in the spare bits of the sub-service field.

Thus, the invention also includes a telecommunications network 275 having a

plurality of load sharing link sets LS1 . . . LS8 for routing a message 285 which comprises

a memory node 244 (which can also be a sending or origination node) and a

10 receiving node 250. The memory node 244 includes a load sharing memory 256 with a list of the

load sharing link sets LS1...LS8. The memory node 244 also includes a signaling link memory

246 for storing a signaling link selection code 253 and a link selector memory 246 for maintaining

a link selector value 248 associated with a maximum value 254.

The receiving node 250 is in electronic communication with the sending node 240,

as is the memory node 244. The receiving node 250 receives the message 285 routed over a

selected one of the load sharing link sets (e.g., LS6) and the link selector value 248 is incremented

by one so as to point to the next SLS code 253 in the list of link sets maintained in the load sharing

memory 256. When incrementing the link selector value 248 to point to the next link set in the

series, and when increment the value will exceed the maximum value 254, the link selector value

20 248 is reset to the initial value 252.

15

Atty. Docket No.: 32944-00046USPT

The receiving node 250 is adapted to receive a request for out-of-sequence

delivery. The sending node 240 is typically adapted to send a request 287 for delivery of a

message. The request typically includes a bit 288 having a first value and a second value, wherein

the first value indicates that out-of-sequence delivery is requested (e.g., bit value = 1), and the

second value indicates that in-sequence delivery is requested (e.g., bit value = 0). The message

285 may also include a Service Information Octet 286, having a sub-service field 289 including a

bit 291 having first and second values, as described previously. Thus, if the sub-service field bit

289 of the SIO has a value of "1", then out-of-sequence delivery is requested. However, if the

sub-service field bit or flag 289 has a value of "0", then in-sequence message delivery is requested.

Of course, those skilled in the art will realize that the absolute bit values are not important. What

is important is that a distinction can be made between out-of-sequence message delivery and

in-sequence message delivery.

Although the invention has been described with reference to specific embodiments,

this description is not meant to be construed in a limited sense. The various modifications of the

disclosed embodiments, as well as alternative embodiments of the invention, will become apparent

to persons skilled in the art upon reference to the description of the invention. It is, therefore,

contemplated that the appended claims will cover such modifications that fall within the scope of

the invention, or their equivalents.

-18-